

Second law for an autonomous information machine connected with multiple baths

Shubhashis Rana*

S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, JD Block, Sector-III, Salt Lake City, Kolkata - 700 106, India

April 28, 2017

Abstract

When a system is attached to an information reservoir, then its dynamics will be affected by that reservoir. A sequence of bits written on a tape can be considered as an information reservoir. Second law of thermodynamics can be apparently violated for this case. Recently Merhav has derived the second law when a system is connected to a heat bath, work source and information reservoir. He has showed that the extracted work during any time interval is restricted by the change of Shannon entropy of the joint system of information reservoir and system during that interval. In the present article, we have generalized this law when the system is connected with multiple baths. We have also given several examples where this law can be applicable.

1 Introduction

Second law is a fundamental law in thermodynamics and always valid on average [1–3]. Recent development of fluctuation theorems dictates that it is possible to find negative entropy production for an individual event for any duration but their probabilities are exponentially small. However validity of the second law was questioned by Maxwell even more than a century ago, when he proposed a thought experiment involving an intelligent being known as Maxwell demon [4]. In this gedanken experiment only knowing the velocity of gas particles confined in a box, a demon can separate them into hotter (consists of faster molecules) and colder (consists of slower molecules) part without doing any work. Half a century later, Szilard proposed another thought experiment [5] where he showed that it is always possible to extract heat from single heat bath and perform useful work cyclically when a gas molecule, confined in a box, is treated by a certain protocol which involves measurement of the state of the system.

*email: shubhashis.rana@gmail.com

To understand these puzzles, last century witnessed several wonderful research works and connection between information theory and thermodynamics is established [6–16]. In fact one need to take into account the cost of information during the process and above all, the process would complete only when the information contents of the memory register of the demon will be erased. Now, according to Landauer, one need to do at least $k_B T \ln 2$ work to erase one bit of information (k_B represents Boltzmann constant). Hence the second law is saved when one takes into account the effect of information.

There are mainly two cases in the framework of information processing when the second law is apparently violated [13,14]. In the first one, measurement is performed and depending on the measurement outcome the protocol is altered. In another approach initiated by Jarzynski, the information contained in an information reservoir is changed when it is allowed to interact sequentially with the system [11,17,18]. A sequence of bits written on a tape can be considered as an information reservoir. Recently Merhav has derived the second law for the second type of approach [19,20]. It is showed when a system is connected to a heat bath, work source and information reservoir, the second law is given by

$$\beta W \leq \mathcal{H}(\Pi_\tau) - \mathcal{H}(\Pi_0). \quad (1)$$

Here $\mathcal{H}$ represents the Shannon entropy of the joint system consisting of interacting bit and system. If that joint distribution at any time t is given by Π_t then corresponding Shannon entropy is denoted by $\mathcal{H}(\Pi_t) = -\sum \Pi_t \ln \Pi_t$ where the sum is done over all possible states. The above equation dictates that the average extracted work W during the time interval $0 \leq t < \tau$ is restricted by the change of Shannon entropy of the joint system during that interval. In the present article, we have generalized this law to the case where the system is connected to multiple baths. First we describe the model and derive our result. Then we give several examples where this law can be applicable.

2 The Model

The model consists of a demon(ratchet) which is attached with an information reservoir and a work source. A tape, where the information is written as discrete symbols, acts as an information reservoir. The input tape is represented by a sequence of symbols $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$, which is taken from a finite alphabet χ (for binary symbols $\chi = (0, 1)$). Then they interact one by one sequentially with the demon. As a result the demon state going through internal states $s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots$, which is taken from a finite set S . On the other hand after interaction, the outgoing tape consists of another sequence of symbols $y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots$, which are elements of same alphabet χ . Total entropy of the incoming tape is

$$H(X^N) = - \sum_{x^N \in \chi} P(x^N) \ln P(x^N). \quad (2)$$

Here, $P(x^N) = P(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_N)$ is the probability distribution of that sequence of the input. Now, If the input sequence is correlated then

$$\begin{aligned}
H(X^N) &= - \sum_{x^N \in \chi} P(x^N) \ln P(x^N) \\
&= - \sum_{x^N \in \chi} P(x^N) \ln [P(x_N|x^{N-1}) \dots P(x_3|x_2, x_1) P(x_2|x_1) P(x_1)] \\
&= - \sum_{x^N \in \chi} P(x^N) \ln P(x_N|x^{N-1}) - \dots - \sum_{x^N \in \chi} P(x^N) \ln P(x_3|x_2, x_1) \\
&\quad - \sum_{x^N \in \chi} P(x^N) \ln P(x_2|x_1) - \sum_{x^N \in \chi} P(x^N) \ln P(x_1) \\
&= - \sum_{x^N} P(x^N) \ln P(x_N|x^{N-1}) - \dots - \sum_{x_3, x_2, x_1} P(x_3, x_2, x_1) \ln P(x_3|x_2, x_1) \\
&\quad - \sum_{x_2, x_1} P(x_2, x_1) \ln P(x_2|x_1) - \sum_{x_1} P(x_1) \ln P(x_1) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N H(X_n|X^{n-1}). \tag{3}
\end{aligned}$$

Where $H(X_n|X^{n-1}) = - \sum_{x^n} P(x^n) \ln P(x_n|x^{n-1})$. Similarly if $P(y^N) = P(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_N)$ represents the probability distribution of the output sequence of the tape then its entropy is given by

$$H(Y^N) = - \sum_{y^N \in \chi} P(y^N) \ln P(y^N) = \sum_{n=1}^N H(Y_n|Y^{n-1}). \tag{4}$$

The demon can interact with one symbol of the tape at a time and its interaction time is taken as τ . During this time, the joint state of ratchet and tape evolves with time. As an example, in n^{th} interaction interval in between time $(n-1)\tau \leq t < n\tau$, the input joint state (x_n, s_n) evolves and finally one obtains (y_n, s_{n+1}) in the output. In the beginning of the next cycle, the demon state does not change but the tape is advanced by one unit taking the y_n in its output. As a result in next cycle, the joint state starts from (x_{n+1}, s_{n+1}) and evolves to (y_{n+1}, s_{n+2}) and this process continues until all the tape passes.

Note that there is two types of dynamics. One is the discrete one which only deals with the input and output states ($(x_1, s_1) \rightarrow (y_1, s_2); (x_2, s_2) \rightarrow (y_2, s_3); \dots$). Another one is continuous and evolves in between the time interval τ in a particular cycle. Consider the joint system of demon (ratchet) and interacting tape has $M+1$ states and is denoted by $(\xi_0, \sigma_0), (\xi_1, \sigma_1), \dots, (\xi_M, \sigma_M)$. Now at the starting of n^{th} cycle (which occurs in the time interval $(n-1)\tau \leq t < n\tau$), the input state is related to the joint state by $(x_n, s_n) = (\xi^{(n-1)\tau}, \sigma^{(n-1)\tau})$. Note that $(\xi^{(n-1)\tau}, \sigma^{(n-1)\tau})$ be any one element of the above mentioned set containing $M+1$ states (each individual is denoted by the subscript which is missing here) while the superscript only denotes the time at which the state appear. After that the state $(\xi^{(n-1)\tau}, \sigma^{(n-1)\tau})$ evolves according to the dynamics and finally at $n\tau^-$ time, it reaches to another element, say $(\xi^{n\tau-}, \sigma^{n\tau-}) = (y_n, s_{n+1})$. At time $n\tau$ the bit state is changed, as a result, next cycle starts from $(x_{n+1}, s_{n+1}) = (\xi^{n\tau}, \sigma^{n\tau})$ which is again an




Figure 1: Schematic diagram to describe the joint states. The energy difference between i^{th} and $(i - 1)^{th}$ level is denoted by E_i and when transition occurs between these states, energy is exchanged only with the bath having inverse temperature β_i ,

element of that set and the process continues. It can be mentioned that if the output and next input symbol(bit for binary sequence) is same then the actual state does not change at the time of this switching, otherwise it starts from another element of (ξ, σ) . In next section we will describe the dynamics and the evolution of the joint system in a particular interval in detail.

The energy difference between the states (ξ_0, σ_0) and (ξ_1, σ_1) is E_1 . Similarly for state (ξ_1, σ_1) and (ξ_2, σ_2) it is E_2 and so on. Note that we have taken (ξ_0, σ_0) as ground state and corresponding energy is taken as 0. Each of these consecutive states can exchange energy with only one bath and transition can only happen between the consecutive states. As an example, transition between (ξ_0, σ_0) and (ξ_1, σ_1) can only happen by exchanging heat from a bath with inverse temperature β_1 . Similarly for (ξ_1, σ_1) and (ξ_2, σ_2) the transition can happen when heat is exchanged from bath with inverse temperature β_2 and so on. There is no other transition possible from or to (ξ_1, σ_1) . Hence all the states form a liner chain and only back and forth transition is possible.

If the system is kept as it is, at large time limit the joint system will eventually reach to the steady state. Then the probability distribution will not alter in time. Now according to the construction of the model, the probability distribution of two successive levels will be related by

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_s(\xi_1, \sigma_1) &= \exp^{-\beta_1 E_1} \Pi_s(\xi_0, \sigma_0), \\ \Pi_s(\xi_2, \sigma_2) &= \exp^{-\beta_2 E_2} \Pi_s(\xi_1, \sigma_1), \\ \Pi_s(\xi_3, \sigma_3) &= \exp^{-\beta_3 E_3} \Pi_s(\xi_2, \sigma_2),\end{aligned}$$

and so on. Then the steady state distribution for any state (ξ_i, σ_i) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_s(\xi_i, \sigma_i) &= \exp^{-\beta_i E_i} \Pi_s(\xi_{i-1}, \sigma_{i-1}) \\ &= \exp^{-(\beta_i E_i + \dots + \beta_2 E_2 + \beta_1 E_1)} \Pi_s(\xi_0, \sigma_0) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\sum_{j=1}^i \beta_j E_j}}{Z}.\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

Where in the last line, we have used the normalization condition and $Z = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^M e^{-\sum_{j=1}^i \beta_j E_j}$. As we already know that as the time increases, Π_t (the probability density at any time t) will approach monotonically towards Π_s and their distance will reduce (Here for notational simplicity we have taken $0 \leq t < \tau$. However it will be true for any interval $(n-1)\tau \leq t < n\tau$). Hence one can write

$$D(\Pi_\tau || \Pi_s) \leq D(\Pi_0 || \Pi_s).\tag{6}$$

Here the Kullback-Leibler divergence is defined as $D(\Pi_{t_1} || \Pi_{t_2}) = \sum_{i=0}^M \Pi_{t_1}(\xi_i, \sigma_i) \ln \frac{\Pi_{t_1}(\xi_i, \sigma_i)}{\Pi_{t_2}(\xi_i, \sigma_i)}$. We can easily expand the above inequality and rewrite it to the form:

$$\sum_{i=0}^M [\Pi_\tau(\xi_i, \sigma_i) - \Pi_0(\xi_i, \sigma_i)] \ln \frac{1}{\Pi_s(\xi_i, \sigma_i)} \leq \mathcal{H}(\Pi_\tau) - \mathcal{H}(\Pi_0).\tag{7}$$

In which $\mathcal{H}$ represents Shannon entropy which we have already defined earlier. Therefore the right hand side represents change of Shannon entropy during the evolution. Again we have

$$\ln \frac{1}{\Pi_s(\xi_i, \sigma_i)} = \ln Z + \sum_{j=1}^i \beta_j E_j.\tag{8}$$

Then left hand side of eq.7 becomes

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{i=0}^M [\Pi_\tau(\xi_i, \sigma_i) - \Pi_0(\xi_i, \sigma_i)] \ln \frac{1}{\Pi_s(\xi_i, \sigma_i)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^M [\Pi_\tau(\xi_i, \sigma_i) - \Pi_0(\xi_i, \sigma_i)] \sum_{j=1}^i \beta_j E_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^M [\Pi_\tau(\xi_i, \sigma_i) - \Pi_0(\xi_i, \sigma_i)] \beta_1 E_1 + \sum_{i=2}^M [\Pi_\tau(\xi_i, \sigma_i) - \Pi_0(\xi_i, \sigma_i)] \beta_2 E_2 \\ &+ \dots + \sum_{i=j}^M [\Pi_\tau(\xi_i, \sigma_i) - \Pi_0(\xi_i, \sigma_i)] \beta_j E_j + \dots + [\Pi_\tau(\xi_M, \sigma_M) - \Pi_0(\xi_M, \sigma_M)] \beta_M E_M\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

Note that $\sum_{i=j}^M [\Pi_\tau(\xi_i, \sigma_i) - \Pi_0(\xi_i, \sigma_i)]$ represents the net change of probability of all the states above (ξ_j, σ_j) including (ξ_j, σ_j) during the operation $0 \leq t < \tau$. As all the allowed transitions form a liner chain, these net probability change can only happen if same amount of transition happen from $(\xi_{j-1}, \sigma_{j-1})$ state to (ξ_j, σ_j) . Now for each of these

transition E_j amount of heat will be absorbed from the bath β_j . One can write average amount of heat that is absorbed from this bath along the evolution during time τ as

$$q_j = \sum_{i=j}^M [\Pi_\tau(\xi_i, \sigma_i) - \Pi_0(\xi_i, \sigma_i)] E_j. \quad (10)$$

Hence one can rewrite eq.7 as

$$\beta_1 q_1 + \beta_2 q_2 + \dots + \beta_M q_M \leq \mathcal{H}(\Pi_\tau) - \mathcal{H}(\Pi_0). \quad (11)$$

This is the second law for each individual cycle. The left side of the equation is related to the bath entropy production while the right side represents entropy change of the joint system. Now if the system is connected with a single bath and all the transitions are happening with the energy exchange with this bath then we can write $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_M = \beta$ and the above equation will simply reduced to

$$\beta q \leq \mathcal{H}(\Pi_\tau) - \mathcal{H}(\Pi_0). \quad (12)$$

where q represented total heat absorbed from the bath. In [20] it is assumed that each energy level is associated to a work source, as a result, the amount of heat absorbed in each transition from the single bath is equal to same amount of work extraction. Then the above result will be reduced to Eq.1 as obtained by Merhav. Note that in this model transition between any two states is allowed. However in our model, we have restricted it to accommodate multiple baths which act simultaneously on the system. To understand the applicability of our model, we take different examples in next section. But before going there, we will try to relate the left hand side of eq.11 with the entropy change of the tape. Taking the notation of discrete process for n^{th} cycle eq.11 will take the form

$$\beta_1 q_1(n) + \beta_2 q_2(n) + \dots + \beta_M q_M(n) \leq H(Y_n, S_{n+1}) - H(X_n, S_n). \quad (13)$$

Here $q_i(n)$ represents heat absorbed from the i^{th} bath in the n^{th} cycle. Now for N cycles, the above inequality will take the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^N [\beta_1 q_1(n) + \beta_2 q_2(n) + \dots + \beta_M q_M(n)] \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^N [H(Y_n, S_{n+1}) - H(X_n, S_n)] \\ & = \sum_{n=1}^N [H(Y_n|S_{n+1}) - H(X_n|S_n)] + \sum_{n=1}^N [H(S_{n+1}) - H(S_n)] \\ & = \sum_{n=1}^N [H(Y_n|S_{n+1}) - H(X_n|S_n)] + H(S_{N+1}) - H(S_1) \\ & \approx \sum_{n=1}^N [H(Y_n|S_{n+1}) - H(X_n|S_n)]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In the last line, it is assumed that N is very large compared to the total number of joint states ($N \gg M$). As a result, the contribution of the entropy ratchet state (which will

be order of $\ln M$) will be negligible compared to the other terms. Note that, the right hand side of the above equation is not equal to the entropy change of the tape which is given by

$$H(Y^N) - H(X^N) = \sum_{n=1}^N [H(Y_n|Y^{n-1}) - H(X_n|X^{n-1})]. \quad (15)$$

Hence our result differ from the normally considered upper bound which is denoted by the entropy change of the tape as shown in [21, 22]. Now the main question arises which one is more compact? We will answer this question in next section.

It is generally assumed that the input sequence of the tape is not correlated with the demon state i.e, $P(x_n|s_n) = P(x_n)$ then the right hand side of eq.(14) becomes

$$\sum_{n=1}^N [H(Y_n|S_{n+1}) - H(X_n|S_n)] = \sum_{n=1}^N [H(Y_n|S_{n+1}) - H(X_n)]. \quad (16)$$

Then also it is not equal to the entropy change of the tape.

2.1 uncorrelated input sequence

If the input sequence does not have any correlation, then $P(x^N) = p(x_N).....P(x_3)P(x_2)P(x_1)$ and total entropy of the input tape now becomes

$$H(x^N) = \sum_{n=1}^N H(X_n) = NH(X). \quad (17)$$

where $H(X_n) = -\sum_{x_n} P(x_n) \ln P(x_n)$. In the last step, it is assumed that the individual probability of each element in a particular position of the sequence (say n^{th}) is independent of its position. Again, eq.(14) can be rewritten in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^N [\beta_1 q_1(n) + \beta_2 q_2(n) + ... + \beta_M q_M(n)] \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^N [H(Y_n|S_{n+1}) - H(X_n|S_n)] + H(S_{N+1}) - H(S_1) \\ & = \sum_{n=1}^N [H(Y_n) - H(X_n)] - \sum_{n=1}^N I(S_{n+1}, Y_n) + H(S_{N+1}) - H(S_1). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

In last line it is again assumed that the input sequence is uncorrelated with the demon states. $I(S_{n+1}, Y_n)$ represents the correlation between S_{n+1} and Y_n and is given by

$$I(S_{n+1}, Y_n) = \sum_{s_{n+1}} \sum_{y_n} P(s_{n+1}, y_n) \ln \left(\frac{P(s_{n+1}, y_n)}{P(s_{n+1})P(y_n)} \right). \quad (19)$$

Note that, $I(S_{n+1}, Y_n)$ is always positive. Neglecting the contribution of ratchet state (which becomes zero for large N) the above inequality shows that our bound is more compact compared to the earlier one.

Now if the demon performs in steady state, then there is no need to concern about each individual cycle (the average heat absorbed from i^{th} bath in n^{th} cycle $q_i(n)$ will be independent of the cycle i.e, $q_i(n) = q_i$). On the other hand entropy change of demon will also be zero. Then the second law for uncorrelated independent sequence becomes

$$\beta_1 q_1 + \beta_2 q_2 + \dots + \beta_M q_M \leq H(Y) - H(X) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N I(S_{n+1}, Y_n). \quad (20)$$

For large τ , the joint system may reach steady state with the baths, so that the probability distributions of joint states do not change with time. For this case that probability distributions will take the form as shown in eq.5. Now if the energy of each joint state can be written as sum of two terms, i.e, $E(\xi, \sigma) = E(\xi) + E(\sigma)$, then at equilibrium, the total probability density can be expressed in terms of product of demon state probability and tape state probability. For this case, the correlation after the evolution at τ between ratchet state and tape state $I(S_{n+1}, Y_n)$ will be zero. Hence in steady state, the second law for uncorrelated independent sequence and for large τ takes the form:

$$\beta_1 q_1 + \beta_2 q_2 + \dots + \beta_M q_M \leq H(Y) - H(X). \quad (21)$$

Note that, for this case only the right hand side is equal to the entropy change of the tape.

3 Examples

3.1 Example 1

First take the Maxwell refrigerator model of Jarzynski Mandal [17]. In this model, a two level system is coupled with an information bath and two thermal baths. A simple binary tape is taken as an information bath. Hence depending on the system state and the bit state there will be four joint states 0d, 1d, 0u and 1u. Each bit can interact with the demon for a time τ after that next bit comes. The incoming bit only can change its state during the interaction of the demon. After the interaction for a time τ , it retains its last state as an output and tape come forward. The rule for the transitions during the interaction time is as follows : When transition takes place with the exchange of heat with hot bath T_h , the bit state does not change, i.e., transition between 0u and 0d, similarly between 1u and 1d energy is exchanged with bath T_h . But for 0d and 1u energy is exchanged with the bath T_c . No other transition is permitted.

Hence the joint states form a liner chain and they are connected by the allowed transition $0u \rightleftharpoons 0d \rightleftharpoons 1u \rightleftharpoons 1d$. Therefore we can apply our model for this case. Note that, in this model 0d state can exchange energy with bath T_h in one end and in another end it can also exchange energy with bath T_c . Now when the system is kept as it is at long time limit the joint system will eventually reach to a steady state with the bath. Then the probability distribution will not change with time and can be determined by transition rate. Corresponding density will be

$$P_s(1u) = \exp^{-E/T_h} P_s(1d),$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_s(0d) &= \exp^{E/T_c} P_s(1u), \\ P_s(0u) &= \exp^{-E/T_h} P_s(0d). \end{aligned}$$

Then the second law for each cycle will be $\beta_1 q_1 + \beta_2 q_2 + \beta_3 q_3 \leq \mathcal{H}(\Pi_\tau) - \mathcal{H}(\Pi_0)$. Note that for this case, first and third bath is same i.e., $\beta_1 = \beta_3 = \frac{1}{k_B T_h}$ and second bath is denoted by $\beta_2 = \frac{1}{k_B T_c}$. Then heat absorbed from hot and cold bath is given by $q_h = q_1 + q_3$ and $q_c = q_2$. When the incoming bits are uncorrelated then in steady state the second law will reduced to

$$\frac{q_h}{T_h} + \frac{q_c}{T_c} \leq k_B (H(Y) - H(X)) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N I(S_{n+1}, Y_n). \quad (22)$$

3.2 Example 2

Now we take our model of information machine [18]. In this model there is an additional work source along with the information reservoir and two heat baths. Here a three level system is taken. Hence depending on bit state and demon state there will be six joint states. For any transition between the energy levels A and B, E_1 amount of energy is exchanged with bath T_c . This is true for any transition between B and C. Note that during these transitions bit state is not changed. But transition between A and C is restricted and depends on the interacting bit. When transition occurs from (to) C0 to (from) A1, E amount of energy is absorbed (released) from (to) bath T_h and w amount of work is done on (extracted from) the system. But Transition between C1 and A0 is restricted. Hence the allowed transitions, from one state to another, form a liner chain and is given by $A0 \rightleftharpoons B0 \rightleftharpoons C0 \rightleftharpoons A1 \rightleftharpoons B1 \rightleftharpoons C1$. Then we can apply eq.11 also for this case. Similar to the earlier example, all the heat exchanged with the bath T_c can be summed up to q_c and heat absorbed during the transition C0 and A1 is taken as q_h . Then the second law takes the form:

$$\frac{q_h}{T_h} + \frac{q_c}{T_c} \leq k_B (H(Y) - H(X)) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N I(S_{n+1}, Y_n). \quad (23)$$

As average energy of the demon does not change here then the first law becomes $q_h + q_c = W$, where W represents work extraction. On the other hand in the earlier example there is no work source and first law takes the form $q_h + q_c = 0$, although second law is same.

3.3 Example 3

Now If we take $E_1 = 0$, then the above problem will be reduced to original Jarzynski Mandal Engine model [11]. For any transition from A to B or B to C and vice versa no energy is exchanged. Hence, there is no significance of bath T_c . Then the above second law will be reduced to

$$q_h \leq k_B T_h (H(Y) - H(X)) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N I(S_{n+1}, Y_n). \quad (24)$$

As total heat absorption q_h will be equal to work extraction W then

$$W \leq k_B T_h (H(Y) - H(X) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N I(S_{n+1}, Y_n)). \quad (25)$$

4 Conclusions

In this paper we have derived the second law for an information machine connected with multiple baths. First we have derived the second law for each individual cycle. Then we sum them up to get the net inequality. We have found that this inequality is tighter compared to the earlier results which only includes entropy change of the tape between its output and input sequences. Moreover we have shown several examples where this law can be applicable.

Acknowledgements

SR thanks A M Jayannavar for broad discussions throughout the work. SR also thanks Deepak Dhar for useful discussions.

References

- [1] Jarzynski C, equality for free energy differences, 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2690
- [2] Crooks G E, Nonequilibrium measurements of free energy differences for microscopically reversible markovian systems, 1998 J. Stat. Phys. **90** 1481
- [3] Saifert U, Stochastic thermodynamics, fluctuation theorems and molecular machines, 2012 Rep. Prog. Phys. **75**, 126001
- [4] Maxwell J C, Theory of Heat, 1871 Longmans, London.
- [5] Szilard L, On the Decrease of Entropy in a Thermodynamic System by the Intervention of Intelligent Beings, 1929 Z. Phys. **53**, 840.
- [6] Landauer R, Irreversibility and Heat Generation in the Computing Process, 1961 IBM J. Res. Dev. **5**, 183.
- [7] Bennett C H, The thermodynamics of computation - a review, 1982 Int. J. Theor. Phys. **21**, 905.
- [8] Zurek W H, Thermodynamic cost of computation, algorithmic complexity and the information metric, 1989 Nature **341**, 119.
- [9] Leff H S and Rex A F, Maxwells Demon 2: Entropy, Classical and Quantum Information, Computing, 2003 Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol.
- [10] Maruyama K, Nori F, and Vedral V, Colloquium: The physics of Maxwell's demon and information, 2009 Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 1.

- [11] Mandal D and Jarzynski C, Work and information processing in a solvable model of Maxwell's demon, 2012 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, **109**, 11641.
- [12] Barato A C and Seifert U, An autonomous and reversible Maxwell's demon, 2013 Europhys. Lett. **101**, 60001.
- [13] Barato A C and Seifert U, Unifying three perspectives on information processing in stochastic thermodynamics, 2014 Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 090601.
- [14] Deffner S and Jarzynski C, Information processing and the second law of thermodynamics: an inclusive, Hamiltonian approach, 2013 Phys Rev X **3**, 041003.
- [15] Sagawa T, Ueda M, Minimal energy cost for thermodynamic information processing: measurement and information erasure, 2009 Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 250602.
- [16] Parrondo J M R, Horowitz J M and Sagawa T, Thermodynamics of information, 2015 Nature Physics **11**, 131.
- [17] Mandal D, Quan H T and Jarzynski C, Maxwell's refrigerator: An exactly solvable model, 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 030602.
- [18] Rana S, Jayannavar A. M., A multipurpose information engine that can go beyond the Carnot limit, 2016, J. Stat Mech: Theo. and Exp, **10**, 103207
- [19] Merhav N, Sequence complexity and work extraction, 2015 J. Stat Mech: Theo. and Exp. **06**, 06037
- [20] Merhav N, Relation between work and entropy production for general information driven finite state engines, arXiv:1611.01965.
- [21] Boyd A B, Mandal D, Crutchfield J P, Identifying functional thermodynamics in autonomous Maxwellian ratchets, 2016 New Journal of Physics **18**, 023049
- [22] Boyd A B, Mandal D, Crutchfield J P, Correlation-powered information engines and the thermodynamics of self-correction, 2017 Phys. Rev. E **95**, 012152